


Shin-Lin Chen
Patent Examiner (US-PTO)
Phone: 703-305-1678
Fax: 703-308-8724



Fax

To: Jennifer Quinn Case No. 09/739,223
Fax: (613) 230-6706 Pages: 7 (including cover page)
Phone: Date: 8-5-03
Re:
☐ Urgent ☒ For Review ☐ Please Comment ☐ Please Reply ☐ Please Recycle

• Comments:

Rep: Adams et al. as requested.

Shin-Lin Chen Ph.D.



01/17/99, 2003
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
--------------------	-------------	-----------------------	---------------------

EXAMINER

Shin-Lin Chen

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1632

11

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Jennifer Quinn (3) _____
(2) Shin-Lin Chen (4) _____

Date of Interview 8-5-03

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Televideo Conference ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: 811

Identification of prior art discussed: Adams et al, Mathupala et al

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: discussed 102, 103 & 112 1st rejections. Applicants may amend claims to read on non-rat tumor cells to overcome art rejections and amend claims more specifically to intratumoral injection of vectors and combination with prodrugs to overcome enablement rejections.
Examiner faxed a copy of Adams et al, 1995 to applicants.
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.